## Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BEDICHEK M S (227901054) AUSTIN Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Reading/English	Language	Arts (ELA)	(AYP Target	: 73%)				
AYP Proficiency Rate 2009-10 Assessments								
Met Standard	744	65	572	98	601	93	137	n/a
Number Tested	958	83	755	109	796	143	226	170
% Met Standard	78%	78%	76%	90%	76%	65%	61%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	9%	79%	11%	83%	15%	n/a	18%
Performance Improvement/Saf 2008-09 Assessments	e Harbor							
Met Standard	843	68	643	120	638	90	159	n/a
Number Tested	1,038	90	799	136	813	148	249	207
% Met Standard	81%	76%	80%	88%	78%	61%	64%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	-3	2	-4	2	-2	4	-3	
Improvement Required						4	4	
2009-10 AYP Proficiency Rat	e includi	ng Growth						
Met Standard or Growth	863	73	673	106	709	96	180	
Number Tested	958	83	755	109	796	143	226	
% Met Standard or Growth	90%	88%	89%	97%	89%	67%	80%	

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

## Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BEDICHEK M S (227901054) AUSTIN Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Mathematics (AY	P Target:	67%)						
AYP Proficiency Rate								
2009-10 Assessments	=0.4				=04			,
Met Standard	724	56	568	90	581	92	152	n/a
Number Tested % Met Standard	959 75%	82 68%	755 75%	111 81%	797 73%	142 65%	226 67%	170 n/a
% met Standard Student Group %	100%	9%	75% 79%	12%	73% 83%	15%	n/a	18%
bounded droup t	1000	<b>5</b> 0	,,,	220		150	, &	200
Performance Improvement/Sa	fe Harbor							
2008-09 Assessments								
Met Standard	729	59	548	112	547	90	143	n/a
Number Tested	1,037	90	798	136	813	147	250	208
% Met Standard	70%	66%	69%	82%	67%	61%	57%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	5	2	6	-1	6	4	10	
Improvement Required						4		
2009-10 AYP Proficiency Ra	te includi:	ng Growth						
Met Standard or Growth	782	59	612	100	633	93	168	
Number Tested	959	82	755	111	797	142	226	
% Met Standard or Growth	82%	72%	81%	90%	79%	65%	74%	

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

## Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BEDICHEK M S (227901054) AUSTIN Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Participation: Reading/ELA	(AYP Target:	: 95%)						
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	1,042	*	806	*	868	*		*
Total Students	1,051	*	812	*	877	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	99%	99%	98%	99%	99%		99%
Student Group %	100%	*	77%	*	83%	*		*
2008-09 Assessments		_						
Number Participating	1,119	*	858	*	878	*		232
Total Students	1,126	*	863	*	884	*		235
Participation Rate	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%		99%
Participation: Mathematics	(AYP Target:	: 95%)						
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	1,042	*	807	*	868	153		*
Total Students	1,051	*	812	*	877	156		*
Participation Rate	99%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%		99%
Student Group %	100%	*	77%	*	83%	15%		*
beatene Group	1000		77.0		050	130		
2008-09 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%	99%		>99%

#### Final 2010 AYP Results

Campus Name: BEDICHEK M S (227901054) AUSTIN Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

2010 AYP Explanation Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading/ELA	+	_	+	+	+	+	+
Performance: Math	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Participation: Reading/ELA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Participation: Math	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Other: Graduation Rate	_						
Other: Attendance Rate	+						

<sup>+</sup> Meets AYP

<sup>-</sup> Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable

<sup>%</sup> Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps

X Missed AYP for this measure