

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2011 AYP Results

Campus Name: MCBEE EL (227901165) AUSTIN
Status: Recognized, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Reading/English Language Arts (ELA) (AYP Target: 80%)								
AYP Proficiency Rate								
2010-11 Assessments								
Met Standard	283	22	254	*	279	18	218	n/a
Number Tested	325	28	290	*	321	29	241	235
% Met Standard	87%	79%	88%	*	87%	62%	90%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	9%	89%	*	99%	9%	n/a	72%
Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor								
2009-10 Assessments								
Met Standard	263	34	225	*	258	23	186	n/a
Number Tested	314	41	269	*	309	35	224	203
% Met Standard	84%	83%	84%	*	83%	66%	83%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	3	-4	4	*	4	-4	7	

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality
n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2011 AYP Results

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2011 AYP Results

Campus Name: MCBEE EL (227901165) AUSTIN
Status: Recognized, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Participation: Reading/ELA (AYP Target: 95%)								
2010-11 Assessments								
Number Participating	345	*	308	*	339	*		246
Total Students	348	*	311	*	342	*		249
Participation Rate	99%	>99%	99%	*	99%	>99%		99%
Student Group %	100%	*	89%	*	98%	*		72%
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	99%	>99%	99%	*	99%	>99%		99%
Participation: Mathematics (AYP Target: 95%)								
2010-11 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	>99%	*	>99%	>99%		>99%
Student Group %	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	>99%	*	>99%	>99%		>99%

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality
n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2011 AYP Results

Campus Name: MCBEE EL (227901165) AUSTIN
Status: Recognized, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Attendance Rate 2009-10 (AYP Target: 90%)								
Days Present	110,051	10,272	96,456	1,164	107,497	9,431		76,144
Days Membership	113,840	10,723	99,617	1,257	111,200	9,894		78,205
Attendance Rate	96.7%	95.8%	96.8%	92.6%	96.7%	95.3%		97.4%
Student Group %	100%	9%	88%	1%	98%	9%		69%
Attendance Rate 2008-09								
Days Present	96,023	13,126	81,047	1,582	91,408	7,473		63,393
Days Membership	99,220	13,711	83,575	1,649	94,428	7,868		65,026
Attendance Rate	96.8%	95.7%	97.0%	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%		97.5%
Change 2009 to 2010	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	-3.3	-0.1	0.3		-0.1

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality
n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress Campus Data Table

Final 2011 AYP Results

Campus Name: MCBEE EL (227901165) AUSTIN
Status: Recognized, Meets AYP

2011-12 School Improvement Program Requirement: None

2011 AYP Explanation Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading/ELA	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Performance: Math	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Participation: Reading/ELA	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Participation: Math	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Other: Graduation Rate	-						
Other: Attendance Rate	+						

- + Meets AYP
- Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable
- % Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps
- X Missed AYP for this measure